

PEDAGOGIJA, OBRAZOVANJE I NASTAVA Zbornik radova

3. međunarodne znanstvene konferencije

PEDAGOGY, EDUCATION AND INSTRUCTION Conference Proceedings 3<sup>rd</sup> International Scientific Conference

#### Nakladnik / Publisher

FAKULTET PRIRODOSLOVNO-MATEMATICKHEL DEGOSNIH "MANOSTI SVEUČILIŠTA U MOSTAPU

FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND EDUCATION - UNIVERSITY OF MOSTAR

## Za nakladnika / For Publisher

Mario Vasili

## Urednik / Editor

Neven Hrvatić

#### Uređivački odbor / Editorial Board

Andrea Barrientos Soto Anita Lukenda

Slavica Pavlović

Ewa Sowa-Behtane

Vedrana Spajić-Vrkaš

Mario Vasili

# Recenzenti / Reviewers

Dr. sc. Barbara Adamczyk Prof. dr. sc. Branko Rafajac

## Grafička priprema i tisak / Graphic design and Print

FRAM-ZIRAL, Mostar

#### NAPOMENA / NOTE

Za kvalitetu i jezičnu ispravnost radova u Zborniku odgovornost snose isključivo autori. Responsibility for the quality and proofreading of the manuscripts in the Conference Proceedings lies with the authors only

CIP - Katalogizacija u publikaciji Nacionalna i univerzitetska biblioteka Bosne i Hercegovine, Sarajevo

37(063)(082)

MEĐUNARODNA znanstvena konferencija Pedagogija, obrazovanje i nastava (3 ; 2016 ; Mostar)

Pedagogija, obrazovanje i nastava : zbornik radova 3. Međunarodne znanstvene konferencije, Mostar, 21.-22. listopada 2016. = Pedagogy, education and instruction : conference proceedings 3<sup>rd</sup> International Scientific Conference, Mostar, 21<sup>rd</sup> - 22<sup>rd</sup> October 2016. - Mostar : Sveučilište, Fakultet prirodoslovno-matematičkih i odgojnih znanosti, 2018. - 989 str. : graf. prikazi ; 24 cm

Bibliografija uz svaki rad. - Abstracts.

ISBN 978-9958-16-086-8

COBISS.BH-ID 25701638

# ATTITUDES OF STUDENTS OF TYPICAL DEVELOPMENT TOWARDS THEIR PEERS WITH DISABILITIES

## Ivana Sretenović

University of Belgrade - Faculty of Special Education and Rehabilitation, Belgrade, Serbia

## Marija Marković

University of Belgrade - Faculty of Special Education and Rehabilitation, Belgrade, Serbia

# Marina Vujanović

Primary School "Miodrag Matic", Belgrade, Serbia

#### Anita Kovačić

Association of Cerebral and Child Palsy of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

## **Abstract**

The aim of this study was to identify and examine attitudes of students of typical development towards their peers with disabilities. The research sample was formed by 104 students of both sexes (43,7% male, and 56,3 female), and they attended the sixth and the seventh grade of primary school. The survey was conducted in three primary schools in Belgrade, during May 2014. The results show that students with disabilities need to provide additional assistance in education. More than half of the students of typical development (53,8%) agree that students with disabilities should be paid more attention than students who do not have a disability. For a large number of claims, the respondents do not have a clearly defined stance. Knowledge of students' attitudes toward peers with disabilities, can be used to create a variety of programs in order to establish positive attitudes. Key words: inclusion, attitudes, students with disabilities

# Introduction

Attitudes occupy an important place in our everyday life. Behavior is motivated by the attitude and they directly influence our actions and behavior. To become a society that accepts the inclusion model, ie a society where everyone has equal rights and opportunities regardless of individual differences is essential the changing attitudes towards people with disabilities. There are two kinds of attitudes, personal attitudes and social attitudes. Personal attitudes are determined by the characteristics of the individual. These are the most common attitudes to a parent, a friend or to an object. Social attitudes related to the wider community. That may be a attitudes to race,

nationality, particular social groups such as minority groups in society or groups that have unequal material status in relation to our or groups of persons with disabilities or developmental disorders (Rot, 1990).

Students of typical development who attend the class in which it will be included students with disabilities, represent a very important category that determines the success of inclusion. From their attitude depends on the extent to which students with disabilities will be accepted into the class. They are largely responsible for the success of inclusion. There may be acceptance, rejection or ignoring, but also up to range of negative behaviors that are directed towards children with disabilities. How will the other students react to the inclusion of children with disabilities depends on family education, but also on the extent to which preparations are done and training for this type of interaction and to what extent the environment is structured (Innes & Diamond, 1999).

A large number of explanations of success of inclusive education lies in the way of participation of students with disabilities in social middle class. One of the central ideas of inclusive education is to be achieved through the application of benefits for the children of the typical population and for children with disabilities (Flem & Keller, 2000).

According to the study, most of students with disabilities function well in regular schools, but some of them have difficulties to be accepted and to make friendships (Bramston, Bruggerman, & Pretty, 2002; Smoot, 2004).

# **Aim**

The aim of this study was to identify and examine attitudes of students of typical development towards their peers with disabilities.

## **Methods**

# Sample

The research sample was formed by 104 students of both sexes (43,7% were male, and 56,3 were female). At the time of researching, students attended the sixth and the seventh grade of primary school.

# The place and time of the survey

The survey was conducted in three primary schools in Belgrade, during May 2014.

## Instrument

For the study, we constructed scale of attitudes of Likert type, modeled on PITI-scaler (Suzić, 2008). The scale contains 24 item. Respondents for each statement expressing their level of agreement or disagreement. This is the first study in which it is used this scale.

# Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0.1 for Windows. Descriptive statistics methods, absolute and relative indicators were applied for data processing, and relation between independent and dependent variables was investigated by t- test.

### Results

Table 1. Students attitudes toward inclusive education

Neither											
abso	lutely	l ag		disa	or					То	tal
f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
42		45		9		6		2		104	
					))						
	40,4		43,3		8,7		5,8		1,9	•	100
11		45		35		11		2		104	
						S					
1											
-	10,6		43,3		33,7		10,6		1,9		100
11		44		32		11		6		104	
					1						
										1	
	10,6		42,3		30,8		10,6		5,8		100
18		56		24		6			22	104	
1						į.					
1					911						
-	17,3		53,8		23,1		5,8				100
20		49		25		9		11		104	
											100
-	19,2		47,1		24		8,7	_	1	10.4	100
25		38		33		8	2		_	104	
1											
1											100
-	24		36.5		31,7		7,7			10.4	100
21		49		21		11		2		104	
											100
-	20,2		47,1		20,2		10,6		1,9		100
9		16	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	49		19		111		104	
		ļ									
							10.7		10.0		100
2	8,7	_	15,4		47,1	71	18,3		10,6		100
2		14		31		31		26		104	
:											
9							20.0		25		100
7	1,9	_	13,5		29,8	72	29,8		25		100
13		20		26		32		23		104	
					25		700		221		100
70	2,9		19,2	_	25	7	50,0		22,1		
39	600.00	42	- J-=	16		1			-	104	
11		1		1	15,4	1	6,7	1			100
	ag f	absolutely agree f % 42 40,4 11 10,6 11 10,6 18 17,3 20 19,2 25 24 21 20,2 9 8,7 2 1,9 3	absolutely laggree f % f  42 45  40,4  11 45  10,6  11 10,6  18 56  17,3  20 49  19,2  25 38  24 21 49  20,2  9 16  8,7  2 14  1,9  3 20  2,9	absolutely agree           f         %         f         %           42         45           40,4         43,3           11         45           10,6         43,3           11         44           10,6         42,3           18         56           17,3         53,8           20         49           19,2         47,1           25         38           21         49           20,2         47,1           9         16           1,9         15,4           1,9         13,5           3         20           2,9         19,2	Absolutely agree agree   Graph	absolutely lagree gree f % f % f % f % 6 % 6 % 6 % 6 % 6 % 6 %	absolutely lagree agree f % f % f % f % f % f % f % f % f % f	Absolutely agree agree   Ido not agree   Ido	absolutely lagree agree f do not disagree f % f % f % f % f % f % f % f % f % f	Absolutely agree   Formation   Agree   Agree	Strongly agree   Stro

14:	4	-1	200		7.		25		111		10.4	
It is necessary to students	4	1	29		35		25		11	i	104	
with disabilities placed									1			
in separate rooms on the		7.0		07.0					l			100
outskirts continues.	17	3,8	41	27,9	72-	33,7	17	24	ļ. —	10,6	10.4	100
It is necessary to single	13		41		32		17				104	
out a group of students			<u> </u>		!				!		ļ	
with disabilities in physical									l		ĺ	
education classes.		12,5		39,4		30,8		16,3		1		100
Students with disabilities	2		31		25		30		15		103	
should not sit alone at a desk			!		ļ				ļ		ļ	
that would not interfere with												
other students.		1,9		30,1		24,3		29,1		14,6		100
Students with disabilities	4		[ 1	10	24		26		40		104	
should not participate in					1				l			
school events.		3,8	<u> </u>	9,6		23,1		25		<u> 38,5</u>		<u> 100</u>
A student with severe	2		111		26		21		44		104	
disabilities should not be					Ì				1			
educated.		1,9		10,6	i	<u> 25</u>		20,2		42,3		100
Students with disabilities	17		40		29		15		3		104	
should be together with other			i		ĺ							
students to attend classes.	l	16,3		38,5	:l	27,9	L.	14,4		2,9		100
The inclusion of students with	20		39		41		2		2		104	
disabilities in regular schools.			Ì		1						1	
developing human, empathic											1	
and helping relationship with												
other children.		19,2	,	37,5	:	39,4	<u> </u>	1,9		1,9		100
In inclusive classes students	8	19,2	43	9,,0	41		9		2		103	
with disabilities to develop	١٥		43		1		1				1	
their potential.	Ì			41,7	,	39,8		8,7	<u>'</u>	1,9		100
Level preserved abilities, or	<del> _</del> _	7,8	1	41,7	49		10			_	104	
degree of disability students	8		37		7		1				ļ	
is the main factor of success			}		1		Ì					
of inclusion.			_	35,6		47,1		9,6				100
The main factor of success is	12	7,7		35,0	42		7	_	3		104	
the inclusion of professional	'2		40				1					
preparation of the teacher /			1		1		Ì		l			
teachers.			1	<b>70</b>	.	40,4		6,7	<u>'</u>	2,9	1	100
In working with students	<del></del> -	11,5	<u>  </u>	38,5	42		5		1		103	
with disabilities, in addition	16		39		72		1		1		1	
to teachers is necessary			1		-		1				•	
and help special education	1						1					
teacher.					_	40,4	.	4,8	3		Ц	100
Students with disabilities		15,5	5	37,5	<u> </u>		11		]1		104	
should be involved in group	30		46		16		ĺ					
activities.	1		1			1 <u>5,4</u>	.	10,6	<u>↓</u>	1	<u> </u>	100
Students with disabilities in	<del> </del>	28,8	3	44,2	4		27		10		104	
regular schools hinder the	8		28		31		1		1		!	
implementation of planned	1						ĺ					
activities.	1		1			29,8	sl	26	<u>1</u>	9,6	<u> </u>	100
acayines.		7,7	7	26,9	اك			_	. 1			

By analysis of statistical data, we came to results that most students agree with statements that students with disabilities should provide additional assistance in education and greater attention compared to students who do not have a disability, that they need not be included in the regular school, but if they are already involved that it is better that there is a special department separate from the ordinary.

They agree that student with disabilities can be a useful member of society and that they should be involved in extracurricular activities with their peers, as well as free time socialize with other children and being involved in group activities.

Students who attend regular schools agree that the inclusive classes students with disabilities are developing their potential. Also, they can attend regular school, but for their acceptance is an essential attitude of teachers.

Students of typical development think that it is best for students with disabilities to sat alone in a pew, not to interfering with the other students. On the part of physical education isolate them in a separate group, that someone would not hurt them. The students with typical development do not agree with the statement that students with disabilities do not need to go on a field trip with other children, or for other claims have no defined position. High standard deviation confirms the difference in response to specific allegations ( $\sigma = 771-1.156$ )

Table 2. The statistical significance of differences in attitudes toward inclusion of gender

意味を対するでは	BPAKTTROM	ARCOSTIC (\$100 H10)	PHINITERINA	-1.663	attitude
Inclusion		M	sd	t (df)	
gender	Μ	83,17	8,49	THE PART OF THE	
	F	85,21	9,21	-1,11 (98)	.270
					377.00

By testing the statistical significance of differences in attitudes toward inclusion among students of different sexes has been found that there are no significant differences (p = 0.270). This means that boys and girls have the same defined attitude on inclusion, and that correspond to the set claims like

# Discussion

The aim of this study was to identify and examine attitudes of students of typical development towards their peers with disabilities

Earlier studies indicate that the attitudes of teachers (Norwich, 1994), class size (Scruggs & Mastropieri, 1996) and the type of disability have the impact on the inclusion. (Stoiber, Gettinger & Goetz, 1998). Particular importance in the process of inclusion take attitudes of typical development students towards the population of children with disabilities. The results of our study showed that 67,3% of students with typical development believe that the attitude of the inclusion.

Nowicki & Sandieson (2002) suggest that negative attitudes of their peers towards children with disabilities is one of the main problems of inclusive education. Negative attitudes can result as a poor acceptance by peers, fewer friendships, loneliness or rejection and even aggression towards children with disabilities. It is significant to state that numerous studies confirmed the link between attitudes of students with typical development towards students with disabilities on the one hand and the degree of participation of students with disabilities in the school environment. Okagaki et al. (1998) found that students who express more willingness to play with peers with disabilities are more often and enter into specific interactions with them in terms of play

and joint participation in school activities. Participants from our research find that students with disabilities need to associate free time with other children (77,9%), but 32% believes that students with disabilities should be sitting alone in a pew. 60% of participants thought that it is important for students with disabilities to be involved in extracurricular activities with their peers, but 52% thought that it is necessary to single out a group of students with disabilities in physical education classes.

Analyzing the impact of specific variables on attitudes of students of a typical population to students with developmental disabilities was found that gender determines attitude. The girls had a more positive attitude than boys (Swaim & Morgan, 2001). In our research, we obtained a difference in attitudes between boys and girls, but it was not statistically significant.

## Conclusion

Because of the potential consequences that can have negative attitudes, it is important to know what are the variables associated with the attitudes of the typical student population. Such knowledge may lead to the emergence of new forms of intervention, with the aim of predicting, explaining and manipulation reactions of students. Also, the very important thing is the age when students will begin with interventions. It is known that attitudes are formed by younger days, but also can change.

The limitation of this study is the small sample, so we can not make generalized conclusions. It is necessary to conduct research on a larger sample and determine whether the attitudes toward students with disabilities actual or declarative character.

# References

- 1. Bramston, P., Bruggerman, K., & Pretty, G. (2002). Community perspectives and subjective quality of life. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 49(4), 385-397.
- 2. Flem, A., & Keller, C. (2000). Inclusion in Norway: A study of ideology in practice. European Journal of Special Needs Education, 15(2), 188-205.
- 3. Innes, F. K., & Diamond, K. E. (1999) Typically developing children's interactions with peers with disabilities: relationships between mothers' comments and children's ideas about disabilities. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 19, 103-111.
- 4. Norwich, B. (1994). The relationship between attitudes to the integration of children with special educational needs and wider socio-political views: A US-English comparison. European Journal of Special Needs Education, 9, 91-106.
- 5. Nowicki, E. A., & Sandieson, R. (2002). A meta-analysis of school-age children's attitudes towards persons with physical or intellectual disabilities. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 49(3), 243-265.



- 6. Okagaki, L., Diamond, K. E., Kontos, S. J., & Hestenes, L. L. (1998). Correlates of young children's interactions with classmates with disabilities. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 13(1), 67-86.
- 7. Rot, N. (1990). *Opšta psihologija*. Beograd: Zavod za udžbenike i nastavna sredstva.
- 8. Scruggs, T. E., & Mastropieri, M. A. (1996). Teacher perceptions of mainstreaming/inclusion, 1958-1995: A research synthesis. *Exceptional Children*, 63(1), 59-74.
- 9. Smoot, S. L. (2004). An outcome measure for social goals of inclusion. Rural Special Education Quarterly, 23(3), 15-22.
- Stoiber, K. C., Gettinger, M., & Goetz, D. (1998). Exploring factors influencing parents' and early childhood practitioners' beliefs about inclusion. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 13, 107-124.
- 11. Swaim, K. F., & Morgan, S. B. (2001). Children's attitudes and behavioral intentions toward a peer with autistic behaviors: Does a brief educational intervention have an effect? *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 31(2), 195-205.